

Name of meeting: Calderdale and Kirklees Joint Health Scrutiny Committee (JHSC)

Date: 23 February 2017

Title of report: Update on the reconciliation process and progress of the development of the Full Business Case.

Is it likely to result in spending or saving £250k or more, or to have a significant effect on two or more electoral wards?	No
Is it in the Council's Forward Plan ?	No
Is it eligible for "call in" by Scrutiny ?	Not Applicable
Date signed off by <u>Director</u> & name	-
Is it signed off by the Director of Resources?	N/A
Is it signed off by the Assistant Director - Legal & Governance?	9 February 2017
Cabinet member portfolio	Prevention, Early Intervention and Vulnerable Adults

Electoral [wards](#) affected: All

Ward councillors consulted: N/A

Public or private: Public

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1 To provide members of the Calderdale and Kirklees Joint Health Scrutiny Committee (JHSC) with an overview of: the steps that have been taken to reach agreement with Calderdale Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG), Greater Huddersfield CCG and Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust (CHFT); and progress of the development of the Full Business Case (FBC).

2. Key Points

- 2.1 At its meeting on the 16 November 2016 the Calderdale and Kirklees JHSC considered the responses from Calderdale CCG, Greater Huddersfield CCG and other organisations to its recommendations contained in the JHSC report "Response to proposals for future arrangements for hospital and community health services in Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield".

- 2.2 Following a detailed discussion committee members identified areas where they were not fully satisfied with the response and agreed the following resolution:
1. In line with the Local Authority (Public Health, Health and Wellbeing Boards and Health Scrutiny) Regulations 2013 that officers put in place arrangements for the Joint Committee, Calderdale CCG, Greater Huddersfield CCG and Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust to take steps to reach agreement on areas of difference between the Joint Committee and the CCG's.
 2. That if the CCG's do not satisfactorily address the Committee's concerns, within an agreed timescale, that consideration be given to exercising the Committee's power of referral to the Secretary of State in accordance with the requirements of the regulations.
 3. That a meeting of the Committee is arranged in February 2017 to assess progress of the development of the Full Business Case and the steps taken to reach agreement between the Committee and CCG's
- 2.3 In response to resolution 1 above, a consensus building session took place on Monday 30 January 2017 that involved members of the Calderdale and Kirklees JHSC and representatives from Calderdale CCG, Greater Huddersfield CCG and CHFT.
- 2.4 The session was supported by an independent facilitator and the aim of the session was to reach a consensus view on the next steps and the actions required in the development of the proposed future arrangements for hospital and community health services in Calderdale and Greater Huddersfield.
- 2.5 The outcomes of the session including an overview of the key areas of discussion have been captured in a report produced by the independent facilitator and is attached to this report for information.
- 2.6 The CCG's response to the majority of the JHSC's concerns has been that the issues highlighted in the recommendations will be addressed through the development of the FBC.
- 2.7 During the discussions at the consensus building session it became apparent that the FBC is being developed in parallel with a number of other documents that will support the proposals.
- 2.8 It was also clear from the discussions that the FBC itself will not address all of the issues highlighted in the recommendations and that the JHSC will also need to consider the information that will be detailed in the other documents in order to be able to reach a judgement on whether the CCG's have adequately addressed its concerns.
- 2.9 A report that provides an overview of the planned work to develop the FBC and associated information including an indicative timeline is attached to this report.
- 2.10 In response to resolution 2 above, officers recommend that members of the JHSC consider the approach and process that should be followed

in agreeing the timescale for taking a decision as to whether to exercise the JHSC's power of referral to the Secretary of State.

2.11 To help inform the discussions relating to the decision of referral members may wish to consider:

- The process and timescales that the CCG's and CHFT are following in preparation for the FBC.
- The volume and complexity of information that the JHSC is likely to have to analyse.
- The approach that members of the JHSC may wish to follow to help support their review of the FBC and associated documentation.

3. Implications for the Council

None at this time.

4. Consultees and their opinions

Not applicable

5. Next steps

That the JHSC take account of the information presented and consider the next steps it wishes to take.

6. Officer recommendations and reasons

That the JHSC:

1. Considers the information provided and determines if any further information or action is required.
2. Agrees on the approach that it will take to agreeing the timescale for the decision of referral.

7. Cabinet portfolio holder recommendation

Not applicable

8. Contact officer and relevant papers

Richard Dunne, Principal Governance & Democratic Engagement Officer, Tel: 01484 221000 E-mail: richard.dunne@kirklees.gov.uk

9. Assistant Director responsible

Julie Muscroft Assistant Director: Legal, Governance & Monitoring